


|  | Introduction |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | We consider a one-machine scheduling problem minimizing the maximum lateness. <br> Each job is associated with <br> - a release date, <br> - a processing time <br> - a delivery time. <br> - There are precedence constraints between some pairs of jobs as well as a time interval, the finish-start time-lags. |


|  | Introduction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | In presence of these constraints, the problem is NP-hard even if preemption is allowed. <br> We consider a special case of the onemachine preemption scheduling problem with time-lags in chain form. <br> And we propose a polynomial algorithm to solve it. <br> One of the applicability is to obtain lower bounds for NP-hard one-machine and jobshop scheduling problems. |

## The one machine scheduling problem

The one-machine scheduling problem:

- a set of jobs have to be scheduled on one machine.
- each job has a release date, a processing time, and a delivery time.
- Each job cannot be processed before its release time.
- At most one job can be processed at a time, all jobs can be simultaneously delivered.
- Preemption is allowed.

| Pre | The one machine scheduling |
| :---: | :---: |
| problem |  |

## The one machine scheduling problem

- Notation
- $n$ jobs $J=\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{n}\right\}$
- $r_{j}$ : release date
- $d_{j}$ : due date
- $q_{j}$ : delivery time
- $C_{j}$ : completion time
- Lateness

$$
L_{j}=C_{j}-d_{j}
$$

- The objective is to minimize the maximum lateness ( $L_{\max }$ )

|  | The Early-Late Algorithm |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous... <br> - The Longest Tail Rule (LTRTL) schedules the jobs sequentially choosing at each step the job with the longest delivery time among those not scheduled yet. <br> * Can easily be adapted to allow preemption (pLTRTL). <br> * Obtains a feasible solution for the problem. <br> - The Horn's algorithm, Horn (1974) is the similar to the LTRTL but considers preemption and no timelags. |

## The Early-Late Algorithm

- The early-late algorithm finds the optimal solution of the problem.
- It is particular case of the enumeration method proposed by Lourenço (1993) to the general one-machine scheduling problem with time lags (in a general form)
- It considers only polynomial number of tree nodes of the previous enumeration method.

|  | The Early-Late Algorithm |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | If the upper bound is equal to the lower bound the algorithm stops because that optimal solution was found. <br> - Node i, instance $I_{i}$ $L_{\max }\left(\sigma_{i}, I_{i}\right)=L B\left(I_{i}\right)$ <br> Otherwise some job is scheduled late or early... |


|  | The Early-Late Algorithm |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & L_{\max }\left(\sigma_{i}, I_{i}\right)=\min _{j \in K} \bar{r}_{j}+\sum_{j \in K} p_{j}+q_{c}>L B\left(I_{i}\right) \geq \\ & \min _{j \in K} r_{j}+\sum_{j \in K} p_{j}+\min _{j \in K} q_{j}=L(K) \end{aligned}$ <br> One chain job is scheduled late if: $\min _{j \in K} \bar{r}_{j}>\min _{j \in K} r_{j}$ <br> One chain job is schedule early if: $q_{c}>\min _{j \in K} q_{j}$ |


| The Early-Late Algorithm |
| :--- | :--- |
| The instance $I_{i}$ is modified by applying |
| some dominance rules. |
| The only modifications needed are the |
| release dates and delivery times (priorities) of |
| the chain jobs. |
| When a modification is made, it means that |
| we are changing from one node to another in |
| the search tree of the enumerative method. |
| $>$ The algorithm runs in polynomial time. |




| - Horn's Rule. Problem: No time lags, pmtn. |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Early-Late algorithm. Problem: Chain time-lags, |
| pmt. |
| - Enumerative method. Problem: All time lags, pmtn. |
| - Carlier's algorithm. Problem: No time lags, no pmtn. |
| - Enumerative method. Problem: Chain time-lags, no |
| pmtn. |
| - Enumerative method. Problem: All time lags, no |
| pmtn. |


|  | Computational Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Poly | mial |  | merative | e meth |  |
|  | Examples | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | (LA19) | 798 | 807 | 813 | 807 | 807 | 832 |
|  | (MT10) | 911 | 911 | 911 | 911 | 911 | 911 |
|  | (MT10) | 917 | 917 | 917 | 917 | 917 | 917 |
|  | (MT10) | 836 | 836 | 836 | 836 | 836 | 836 |
|  | (MT10) | 884 | 884 | 884 | 892 | 892 | 892 |
|  | (ABZ5) | 1101 | 1116 | 1116 | 1108 | 1116 | 1116 |
|  | (LA19) | 735 | 752 | 752 | 747 | 755 | 755 |
|  | (ABZ5) | 1147 | 1157 | 1157 | 1147 | 1157 | 1157 |
|  | (MT10) | 884 | 884 | 884 | 892 | 892 | 892 |
|  | (MT10) | 918 | 918 | 918 | 918 | 918 | 918 |

## Conclusions

- In this work, we considered a special case of the one-machine scheduling with time-lags in a chain form and allowing preemption.
- The main contribution of this work is the presentation of a polynomial algorithm, the early-late algorithm.
- This algorithm can be used to obtain lowerbounds within branch-and-bound methods to other complex scheduling problems, as the job-shop problem.

|  | Future Research |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Consider precedence constraints in a bipartite graph form and study how to solve such problems. <br> Apply the early-late algorithm within a Matheuristic method to solve complex sheduling problems. <br> - Apply search heuristics combined with exact methods. |

